

Arid Zone Journal of Basic and Applied Research

Faculty of Science, Borno State University Maiduguri, Nigeria



Journal homepage: https://www.azjournalbar.com

Research Article

Growth Performance, Heritability and Genetic Advance in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Grown in the Sudan Savanna of Nigeria

¹Mustafa, A. A., ¹Saquib M. and ²Aminu D.

¹Department of Botany, Faculty of Life Science, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria.
 ²Department of Crop Production, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria
 *Corresponding author's Email: mohammadsaquib941@gmail.com, doi.org/10.55639/607.131211

ARTICLE INFO:

Keywords: Performance, Heritability, Genetic Advance, Bread Wheat, Genotype,

ABSTRACT

Thirty bread wheat genotypes were taken up to evaluate their performance, heritability and genetic advance estimates. These genotypes were laid in a randomized complete design with three replications, plot size (3m x 2m) was measured and seeds were drilled at 30cm row to row. Fertilizer was applied at the rate of 100kg N, 40kg each P₂O₅ and K₂O per hectare. The experiment was conducted during the 2018/2019 cool harmattan season; seeds were sown on November 26th, 2018 and while the crop was harvested on March 2019 under irrigated condition. Results obtained from the experiment revealed significant variations for days to 50% heading, plant height, spike length, spikelets per spike, and grain yield. . Early heading genotypes were found to be Genos 21, 27, 25, 12, 24 and 28 with heading days ranging from 52 to 55 days. Similarly, high-yielding genotypes were detected in Genos 22, 18, 27, 12, 16 and 30, with the mean grain yield ranging from 5.90 to 7.91 tonnes per hectare. Heritability estimates were mostly moderate for some parameters ranging from 31.58% to 48.73% with spike length recorded the highest value of 63.55%. Similarly, genetic gain was low for some of the parameters ranged from 5.50% to 8.60%, while moderate values were observed for spike length (18.40%) and grain yield (18.40%).

Corresponding author: Saquib M, **Email:** mohammadsaquib941@gmail.com Department of Botany, University of Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria

INTRODUCTION

Bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), an annual self-pollinating species of the family (Gramineae) and Tribe Triticeae, is the most widely grown cereal crop of the globe (Khan, 2013; Baloch et al., 2016)It is a hexaploid crop (2n =6x=42) with a genome AABBDD (Shibeshi, 2019). Cultivation of wheat took place about 10000 years ago as part of the "Neolithic Revolution". The earliest cultivated wheat forms were the diploids with genome "AA" which included "Einkorn" wheat and the tetraploids with genome "AABB" which also included the "Emmer" wheat (Shewry, 2009).

Recently, it was asserted that wheat was cultivated in Nigeria especially the Northern parts of the country since the sixteenth century (Rayar et al., 1990)The wheat produced then was meagre and only consumed by the nobles during festive occasions (Aminu-Kano and Ikwelle, 1998).In Nigeria, wheat is cultivated entirely under irrigation during November to March and within latitudes $10 - 14^{\circ}$ N at altitude of 240 - 360 meters above sea level (Masl). During these months, right temperatures are in the range of $10 - 15^{\circ}$ C (Olugberni, 1990). This favourable wheat production condition occurs within the Sudan-Sahel zones of the country (Falaki and Mohammed, 2011), with states of Borno, Yobe, Kano, Katsina, Zamfara, Sokoto, Kebbi, Bauchi, Gombe and Adamawa being the prominent wheat producers (Lagoke et al., 1998; Wudu, 1998). Despite the number of acreage under production the output is very low, ranging from 60,000 to 90,000 tonnes (Anon, 2020; Anon, 2021).During 2018-2020, average wheat yield per hectare stood at 1368kg, while wheat consumption was 4760, 4900 and 4319 tonnes in these three years respectively; in fact, the consumption level grew from 3582 tonnes in 2010 to 4900 tonnes in 2019 (Anon, 2020). Wheat consumption in Nigeria is reportedly growing at the rate of more than 20% annually, leading to a wide gap between the domestic

2

It is produced worldwide on about 216 million hectares with production potential of about 650 million tonnes (FAO, 2012),thus being third in position after maize (785m. tonnes) and rice (652m. tonnes) (Shewry, 2009).The leading wheat producers today are China (126 million metric tonnes), India (95 million metric tonnes), Russia (60 million metric tonnes), USA (55 million metric tonnes), Germany (28 million metric tonnes), Pakistan (26 million metric tonnes), Australia (25 million metric tonnes) and Ukraine (24 million metric tonnes) (FAOSTAT, 2015).

production and consumption and, consequently, necessitating heavy import of the commodity (Shettima, 1998).

In order to meet the challenges of high wheat demand, breeders need to enhance their efforts to evolve and breed high-yielding, heat-tolerant, early maturing wheat varieties having resistance against pests and diseases, and a good baking quality. However, availability of improved, high-yielding wheat varieties isa major constraint towards successful wheat production in the country. Several wheat varieties have been evolved and released from time to time for cultivation in diverse climatic regions. However, vield and seed quality of these varieties need be improved to match the ever-growing demands (Deepak et al., 2018). This can be achieved through the use of existing genetic variability (Deepak et al., 2018). Improvement of several desirable traits is made possible through evaluation, and potential varieties are identified and selected as parental types. Hybridization combines desirable genes with target genotypes and further selection may result in identification of superior types for release as promising candidates obtained after multi locational trial. Important characters of wheat such as days to heading, plant height, spike length, number of spikelets per spike, 1000-seed weight, and maturityperiod, quality and quantity of grain are

often evaluated by experts (Baloch *et al.* 2016) Zeleke *et al.*2019; Gebrie *et al.* 2020).

Heritability is a measure of phenotypic variance attributable to genetic cause, which has a predictive function in breeding crops (Songiri et al.,2008). Estimates of heritability provide information about the traits that transmit from parents to their offsprings, hence has a crucial role in selection criteria to improve quantitative traits of crops (Saleem et al., 2016). Varying heritability estimates have been obtained for several quantitative characters in bread wheat and used in predicting selection of important characters (Al-Sayaydeh et al., 2019; Destaw et al., 2020; Prasad et al., 2021). However, Dergicho et al. (2015) reported high heritability estimates for days to heading, number of spikelets per spike, spike length, 1000 grain weight and grain yield. High, moderate or low genetic advance has been reported for several quantitative characters of wheat. Yadav et al. (2015), Dergicho et al. (2015) and Prasad et al.(2021) reported high genetic advance for characters such as tillers per plant, number of spikelets per plant, spike length, 1000-seed weight, days to heading and grain yield. However, Arega e al. (2010) and Saleem et al. (2016) reported moderate genetic gain for spike length, days to heading, plant height and spikelets per spike.

The present study was undertaken to evaluate the performance of bread wheat genotypes for yield and yield components, their heritability in broad sense, and the genetic gain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment was conducted at the Experimental Garden of the Biological Sciences Department, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Borno State [lat. 11°.50'N, long. 13°09E, 359 meters above sea level (Masl)]. The whole experiment was set up on 26th November 2018 under irrigated condition. Harvesting was done on 10th March 2019.The experiment used 30 bread-wheat genotypes, including two

Checks; Reyna28 and Norman, obtained from Lake Chad Research Institute(LCRI), Maiduguri, Nigeria (Table 1). A randomised complete block design (RCBD) was used with three replications. Statistix 0.8 was the statistical software used for the analysis. All the 30 genotypes were source from LCRI gene bank originated from Cimmyt, Mexico after series of selections and hybridizations. Plot size measured 3m x 2m with seeds drilled at 30cm apart within plots. A seed rate of 100kg ha⁻¹ was used and dressed with seed-dressing chemical (Apron plus). Similarly, standard fertilizer rate of 100kgN, 40kg K_2O and 40kg ha⁻¹ was supplied. All plots received 50kgN, 40kg each of P₂O₅ and K₂O per hectare as basal application and incorporated. The balance of the 50kgN was applied 4 weeks after sowing. Immediately after sowing, irrigation was carried out at the frequency of 4 – day intervals. At full maturity, irrigation was stopped 10 to 14 days before harvest to allow proper drying and mellowing of crops foreasy harvest and thresh. Weeding was carried out to keep plots and surrounding field weed-free and clean. All other recommended cultural practices for wheat production were carried out.

Data were collected from 10 randomly selected plants from the net plot for each genotype, following the standard agronomic datacollection procedure.

Data were collected on the following parameters:

- 1. **Days to 50% heading**: this was recorded from the day of sowing to when 50% of plants in the net plot produced heads.
- 2. **Plant height**: this was the measurement (in cm) from the base (ground level) of the plant to the top of the spike (excluding awns) at maturity.
- 3. **Spike length**: this was the measurement (in cm) from the base to the tip of the spike, excluding awns, at maturity.

- 4. **Number of spikelets per spike**: this was counting of spikelets of each genotype on the rachis of the main mature plant.
- 5. **1000-seed weight**: this was the weight of 1000 seeds collected from individual genotypes from each plot at maturity and weighed (in g), using a sensitive weighing balance.
- 6. **Grain yield per hectare:** this was the weight of each net plot (in kg) expressed in tonnes per hectare (t/ha).

The morphological characters were analysed using randomized complete block design analysis following Gomez and Gomez (1984). "F" test was applied to determine the significance of treatments at 5% level of probability. LSD at 5% was used to separate the means, using the statistics' 8.0 software. Broad sense heritability was estimated using variance components as described by Falconer (1989)

$${\rm h_b}^2 = \frac{\delta_g^2}{\delta_{ph}^2} \ge 100$$

Similar genetic gain as a percentage of mean was obtained, assuming selection differential of superior 5% genotypes, as described by Johnson*et al.* (1955)

$$GA = K \,\delta_{ph}h^2$$
$$GAM = \frac{(GA)}{\bar{x}} x \,100$$

Where: δ_{g}^{2} , δ_{ph}^{2} , are genotypic and phenotypic variances, GA= genetic advance in absolute unit, K standardized differential at 5% selection intensity (K=2.063), δ_{ph} = phenotypic standard deviation and h² = heritability in broad sense.

RESULTS

The result of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for grain yield and other yield-related traits showed highly significant differences with the exception of 1000-seed weight (Table 2). The means and their ranking of the measured characters are given in Table 3. Days to 50% heading significantly varied from 52 days for genotypes 21 and 27 to 65 days for genotype 3. These were the early and latter heading genotypes. Earlyheading genotypes were also observed for genotypes 25 and 12, with mean heading days being 52 and 53 days, respectively. The overall mean heading days was 58.36 days, and a coefficient of variation of 6.99 percent. Plant height significantly varied from 73.63cm for genotype 5 to 92.50 cm for genotype 20. However, genotype 1 (92.27cm) was the second tallest plant, and together with genotype 20 non-significant recorded plant heights. Similarly, genotypes 11, 12, 19, 21, 22 and 29

appeared to be among the shortest. The overall mean value for this character was observed to be 82.95cm, with coefficient of variation of 4.83 percent. Spikelet number as a component of yield varied significantly from 14.33 for genotype 25 to 19.33 for genotype 1. Also, genotypes 4, 6, 13, 16, 23, 26 and 30 provided superior membersfor spikelets per spike, which did not differ significantly among them, (Table 3). The overall mean value for the character was 16.88, with a coefficient of variation of 7.06 percent. Spike length, a component of high yield, also varied significantly from 6.43cm for genotypes 24 to 9.337cm for genotype 29. Also, genotypes 5, 16 and 17 recorded long spike length values, e.g., 9.00cm and 9.17cm for the latter two, respectively, which were not significantly different from each other. The overall mean value for this character was 8.18cm, with a coefficient of variation of 8.50 percent. The grain yield being the most important of all characters also varied significantly. However, it varied from 3.60 tonnes for genotype 6 to 7.91 tonnes for genotype 22, the two being the lowest and highest yielders. Genotypes 12 (6.00 tonnes), 16 (6.40 tonnes), 18 (6.40 tonnes) and 27 (6.02 tonnes) were also among the top yielders.

Genotypes 6 (3.60 tonnes), 7 (4.17 tonnes) and 24 (4.22 tonnes) recorded the lowest yields. The overall mean grain yield was 5.22 tonnes with a coefficient of variation of 14.78 percent. Heritability (broad sense) and genetic advance of all significant characters are presented in Table 4. Heritability estimates ranged from high to moderate (63.55%) for spike length and (31.58%) for days to 50% heading. Similarly, moderate heritability estimates were recorded for plant height (48.73%), number of spikelets per spike (44.36%) and grain yield (43.54%). The estimated genetic advance showed mostly low to moderate values. Moderate genetic advance was recorded for spike length (18.40%) and grain yield (17.62%). However, days to 50% heading (5.50%), plant height (6.78%) and number of spikelets per spike (8.00%) recorded

a low genetic advance (Table 4).

Table 1: Names of Genotypes/Lines	Used
--	------

S/No	Genotypes/Lines	Treatment Number
1	Reyna 28 (check 1)	Geno 1
2	Norman (check 2)	Geno 2
3	Qafzah-19//Vee 7/Kauz	Geno 3
4	Kauz//Altar 84/Aos 3/Kauz/3/Katila-1/4/Chen/Aegilopes	Geno 4
	Squarrosa (Taus)//BCN/3/Kauz	
5	Seri/B [*] 2/3/Kauz [*] 2/Bow//Kauz/4/Kauz/Florkwa-1/5/	Geno 5
	Pl.861/Rdwg//Naama-6	
6	YMI#6/Gen//Tia.1/3/Vee #5//Dove/Bud 4/Milan/Pastor	Geno 6
	/S/Attila [*] 2/3/Kauz [*] 2/Trap//Kauz	
7	Vee/Pjn//2 [*] Kauz/3/Milan/Pastor/4/Kauz//Trap#1/Bow	Geno 7
8	Goumria – 8//Milan/Pastor/3/Tnmu/Milan	Geno 8
9	Kauz//Altar 84/ Aos 3/Kauz/3/Catbird – 10/4/Milan Ducula	Geno 9
10	$G_{iza} = \frac{168}{4} \frac{4}{4} \frac{*2}{3} \frac{*2}{Kauz} \frac{*2}{Tran} \frac{1}{Kauz}$	Geno 10
10	Seri $1B/K$ auz/Heyo/3/Amad/ $4/K$ auz/GYS//K auz	Geno 11
12	Seri 1B//Kauz/Hevo/3/Amad/4/Pfau/Milan	Geno 12
12	$Vee/Pin//2^*$ Kauz/3/Shuha -4/Fow2	Geno 13
14	Hubara $= 7/4/Chen/Aegilons Squarrosa (Taus)//Ben/3/$	Geno 14
11	Kauz	
15	Seri 1B//Kauz/Hevo/3/Amad/4/Milan/Pastor/5/Icarda	Geno 15
10	$-\operatorname{srrl}-6$	
16	Hubara – 7//Milan/Pastor	Geno 16
17	Seri.1B [*] 2/3/Kauz [*] 2/Bow///Kauz/4/Milan/Pastor	Geno 17
18	Attila [*] 2/P6w65//Pfau/Millan	Geno 18
19	Kauz 'S'/Seri//Pfau/Milan	Geno 19
20	Shuha –7/Shuha -1//Nemura/Cettia	Geno 20
21	Jawahir -10/Sekhrah -1	Geno 21
22	Pfau/Milan//Jawahir – 9	Geno 22
23	Faris – 17//Pfau/Milan	Geno 23
24	Munia/Altar 84//Milan/3/Milan/Pastor	Geno 24
25	Bacanora T88/Ruth -2	Geno 25
26	Cham - 8/Ruth - 3	Geno 26
27	Hubara -5/3/Nesma [*] 2/261 – 9/Firtail	Geno 27
28	Galvez/Weaver/3/Vorona/Cno79//Kauz/4/Milan//Psn/Bow	Geno 28
29	Seri.1B//Kauz/Hevo/3/Amad/4/Milan/Pastor	Geno 29
30	Mex 94.27. 1. 20/3/50Koll//Attila/3 [°] Bcn/4/Zafir – 3	Geno 30

Character	MSR (Df=2)	MSG	MSE	Min	Max	CV (%)
	· · · ·	(Df=29)	(Df=58)			
Days to 50% heading	4.144	39.654**	16.627	52.00	65.00	6.99
Plant height (cm)	39.800	61.729**	16.029	73.63	92.80	4.83
Spike length (cm)	0.236	1.565**	0.484	7.17	9.17	8.50
Number of spikelets per spike	0.811	4.816**	1.420	15.00	19.00	7.06
1000 seed weight (g)	40.515	22.492 ^{ns}	24.487	34.37	45.77	12.52
Grain yield (t/ha)	1.230	1.967**	0.594	3.60	7.90	14.78

Table 2: Analysis of Variance Result for the Morphological Characters Evaluated atM2018/2019 Cool SeasonM

Maiduguri in

=

Df = Degrees of Freedom, MSR = Mean Squares Replication, MSG = Mean Squares Genotypes, CV Coefficient of Variation, * = significant at p=0.05, ** = significant at p=0.01, ns = not significant CV

Table 3: Means and Ranking of the Morphological Characters of the Bread WheatEvaluated at Maiduguri in 2018/2019 Cool Season

Genotype	Days to 50	Plant Height	Spike Length	Number of	1000 - seed	Grain yield
	% heading	(cm)	(cm)	spikelets per spike	weight (g)	(t/ha)
Geno 1	57.33 ^{d-i}	91.27^{ab}	8.83 ^{abc}	19.33 ^a	41.10^{a}	5.07 ^{c-g}
Geno 2	60.33 ^{a-g}	80.43 ^{e-j}	8.13 ^{b-g}	15.33 ^{fgh}	40.07^{a}	4.83 ^{c-h}
Geno 3	65.00^{a}	87.07^{a-d}	8.10^{b-g}	17.00 ^{c-f}	35.90 ^a	5.14 ^{c-g}
Geno 4	58.33 ^{b-i}	86.50^{a-f}	7.87 ^{c-g}	18.00^{a-d}	38.27 ^a	4.67^{d-g}
Geno 5	61.00^{a-g}	73.63 ^m	9.00^{abc}	$17.00^{\text{c-f}}$	42.13 ^a	4.33^{fgh}
Geno 6	60.00^{a-g}	82.97^{d-k}	8.33 ^{a-f}	18.00^{a-d}	40.27^{a}	3.60 ^h
Geno 7	64.33 ^{ab}	83.93 ^{c-h}	8.47^{a-f}	17.33 ^{b-e}	41.13 ^a	4.17^{gh}
Geno 8	63.00^{a-d}	83.17^{d-k}	7.33 ^{fgh}	17.33 ^{b-e}	36.37 ^a	4.57^{fgh}
Geno 9	62.67 ^{a-e}	83.60 ^{c-i}	7.57 ^{e-h}	16.00 ^{e-h}	38.57 ^a	5.07 ^{c-g}
Geno 10	56.00 ^{f-i}	82.53^{d-k}	7.40^{fgh}	15.33 ^{fgh}	38.00 ^a	5.15^{b-g}
Geno 11	59.67^{a-h}	76.67 ^{j-m}	$8.40^{\mathrm{a-f}}$	16.33 ^{d-g}	39.37 ^a	5.40^{b-g}
Geno 12	53.33^{hi}	77.13 ^{i-m}	8.20^{b-g}	17.33 ^{b-e}	43.37 ^a	6.00^{bc}
Geno 13	57.53 ^{d-i}	80.20^{f-1}	8.93 ^{abc}	18.00^{a-d}	34.97 ^a	4.87 ^{c-g}
Geno 14	60.00^{a-g}	85.70^{b-g}	7.50^{e-h}	16.00 ^{e-h}	41.23 ^a	5.41 ^{b-g}
Geno 15	56.67 ^{d-i}	82.47^{d-k}	7.57 ^{e-h}	15.00^{gh}	36.87 ^a	5.33 ^{b-g}
Geno 16	56.00^{f-i}	80.83 ^{d-1}	9.17 ^{ab}	19.00^{ab}	41.67 ^a	6.00^{bc}
Geno 17	59.00^{a-h}	87.10^{a-d}	9.17^{ab}	17.33 ^{b-e}	35.23 ^a	5.13 ^{c-g}
Geno 18	57.67 ^{c-i}	83.07^{d-k}	7.13 ^{gh}	16.67 ^{c-g}	37.37 ^a	6.40^{b}
Geno 19	64.00^{a-d}	75.43^{lm}	8.97 ^{abc}	16.33 ^{fgh}	37.50 ^a	5.83 ^{b-e}
Geno 20	62.00^{a-f}	92.50^{a}	8.93 ^{abc}	17.33 ^{b-e}	39.63 ^a	4.60^{e-h}
Geno 21	52.00^{i}	79.38^{h-m}	8.23 ^{a-g}	16.67 ^{c-g}	38.37 ^a	5.00 ^{c-g}
Geno 22	57.67 ^{c-i}	79.63 ^{g-m}	8.60 ^{a-e}	16.67 ^{c-g}	44.17^{a}	7.91 ^a
Geno 23	60.67^{a-g}	86.50^{a-f}	8.17^{b-g}	18.33^{abc}	41.80^{a}	5.37^{b-g}
Geno 24	54.67 ^{ghi}	$84.70^{\text{c-h}}$	6.43 ^h	15.33 ^{fgh}	41.43 ^a	4.22^{gh}
Geno 25	52.33 ⁱ	89.80^{abc}	7.13 ^{gh}	14.33 ^h	47.77^{a}	5.20^{b-g}
Geno 26	60.00^{a-g}	$84.40^{\text{c-h}}$	8.80^{a-d}	18.00^{a-d}	36.37 ^a	5.57^{b-f}
Geno 27	52.00 ⁱ	86.83 ^{a-e}	8.03 ^{b-g}	$17.00^{\text{c-f}}$	42.60^{a}	6.02^{bc}
Geno 28	55.33 ^{ghi}	81.10^{d-1}	7.67^{d-g}	17.67 ^{a-e}	39.33 ^a	5.17^{b-g}
Geno 29	56.33 ^{e-i}	76.93 ^{j-m}	9.37 ^a	15.00 ^{gh}	$40.50^{\rm a}$	4.53^{fgh}
Geno 30	56.00^{f-i}	83.40 ^{c-y}	8.00 ^{c-g}	18.33 ^{abc}	36.63 ^a	5.90^{bcd}
Mean	58.36	82.95	8.18	16.88	39.53	5.22
SEM±	2.35	2.31	0.402	0.688	NS	0.445

Means within a column followed by similar letter (s) are statistically the same at the p=0.05 level of DMRT; NS = Not Significant.

the 50 bread wheat Genotypes Evaluated at Walduguri in 2010/2017 Cool season						
Character	δ^2_{g}	δ^2_{ph}	δ^2_{e}	$\mathrm{H}^{2}_{b}(\%)$	GAM (%)	
Days to 50% heading	7.67	24.30	16.63	31.58 Mod	5.50 Low	
Plant height (cm)	15.23	31.26	16.03	48.73 Mod	6.78 Low	
Spike length (cm)	0.84	1.33	0.48	63.55 High	18.40 Mod	
Number of spikelets per spike	1.13	2.55	1.42	44.36 Mod	8.60 Low	
1000 seeds weight (g)	*	*	*	*	*	
Grain yield (t/ha)	0.46	1.05	0.59	43.54 Mod	17.62 Mod	

Table 4: Genetic Variance Components, Heritability and Genetic Advance of Significant Characters of the 30 Bread Wheat Genotypes Evaluated at Maiduguri in 2018/2019 Cool season

*= did not show significant mean squares, H_b^2 = Broad sense heritability, GAM = Genetic advance as percentage of mean, δ_{g}^{2} = genotypic variance, δ_{ph}^{2} = phenotypic variance, $\delta_e^2 =$ error variance.

DISCUSSION

In general, crop breeding programme involves a large number of evaluated traits to make it possible to identify and select superior performing genotypesand enable varietal release (Fazal et al., 2011; Noor et al., 2019). Growth performance of different bread wheat genotypes exhibits marked variations due to a variety of internal factors and external manipulations (Hassan et al., 2010; Saifullah et al., 2016; Noor et al., 2019). Earliness in wheat plants, as depicted by early heading and subsequent flowering and maturity, is an importantindicator to show the degree of adaptation of crops to different agroecological zones. This character cannot be ignored when breeding and selecting for early maturing genotypes in marginal environments for wheat such as Nigeria. Genotypes with this attribute have the advantage to escape the terminal moisture stress when production is under rainfed condition, or the terminal heat stress when production is under irrigation, as practised in Nigeria. Variability in heading and maturity was reported by Mulugeta et al. (2018) similar to what was obtained in the present investigation.

Plant height is one of the characters that determine high yield along with the other yieldattributing characters (Saleem et al., 2016). Studies of Tchalla et al. (2020) indicated that height in wheat plant is a significant indicator of morphological parameters of the crop phenotype and directly reflect the growth and yield status of wheat. Information regarding this trait is used to develop a selection index to increase yield while retaining an acceptable height. Taller plants are prone to lodging with subsequent yield losses. On the other hand, dwarf to semidwarf wheat genotypes are resilient to lodging, respond to increased nitrogen fertilization, and simplify combine harvesting in mechanized agriculture (Tamm, 2003; Begum and Dasgupta, 2019). The significant variations observed for plant heights could be the result of their genetic makeup (Jemal et al., 2015). Studies by Yadav et al. (2015) and Saleem et al. (2016) on variability in plant height support the present results.

Spike length is an important character in evaluating wheat genotypes towards selection for high yield. Longer spikes may accommodate a higher number of spikelets and, consequently, a higher number of grains. Similarly, spike

length, spikelets per spike, and number of grains per spike are all yield-attributing characters. A positive performance in these attributes may lead to higher yields. Significant variations for spike length and the other yield-related characters were reported by Khan, (2013) and Gaffar, (2007), as in the present findings. High seed weight conditioned by high amount of assimilates due to efficient photosynthesis is desirable when selecting wheat genotypes for high grain yield. This character contributes to high grain yield per unit area. Significant variation for 1000 seed weight, reported by et (2010)and Hassan al. Karaman, (2020), differed from the present results.

Similarly, grain yield is the main character by which a genotype or cultivar is judged most commonly. It is the ultimate goal of any breeding endeavour. No cultivar is considered satisfactory unless it is able to provide a relatively high yield. However, grain yield is a complex character affected by environment and depends greatly on other yield-attributing characters. Variations in wheat grain yield, reported by Geleta et al. (2015), Kabir et al. (2017) and Dereje et al. (2019), corroborate our results. Many studies have shown that the overall growth performance of crop plants is linked to the level of their nitrogen-utilization efficiency (Chandana et al., 2010, 2012a,b). Anjana et al. (2007, 2011) identified the characteristics that influence the N-use efficiency in different wheat cultivars, and studied the modulation of N-use efficiency in genotypes differing in nitrate reductase activity. It has been reported that the biochemistry of different wheat cultivars is affected by the level of nitrogen applied (Hakeem et al., 2011).

Heritability estimate is a good sign for transfer of characters from generation to generation and is widely used in the establishment of a sound breeding programme, and in the formation of selection index. Genetic advance is another selection tool, furnishing information on the

magnitude of the expected genetic gain from one selection cycle (Hamdi et al., 2003). Thus, heritability estimates together with genetic advance are more important to predict the resulting effect of selecting the best individuals (Manal, 2009). According to Robinson et al. (1955), heritability isbroadly categorised as high (>60%), moderate (30% to 60%) and low (<30%). However, Johnson et al. (1955) categorized genetic advance as high (>20%), moderate (10% to 20%) and low (<10%). In the present study, nearly all of the evaluated characters showed moderate heritability with only spike length having high heritability. Moderate heritability was reported for similar characters by Abinasa et al. (2011) and Khalid et al. (2011). On the other hand, high heritability was reported for spike length by Baloch et al. (2016) and Geleta (2020), thus corroborating the present result. The moderate genetic gain observed for spike length and grain yield agrees with the results reported by Arega et al. (2010) and Saleem et al. (2016) for these characters. Similarly, low genetic advance obtained for other characters evaluated is in agreement with reports by Kabir et al. (2017). The high to moderate heritability and genetic advance obtained for spike length and grain yield indicate that these traits are under the influence of additive gene effect and would invariably respond to positive selection in the early generation. The moderate heritability accompanied by low genetic advance for such characters as days to heading, plant height and spikelets per spike indicate non-additive gene effects and would cause difficulty in selection for improving these characters in the wheat genotypes evaluated. Therefore, a sensible and intelligent breedingprocedure needs to be adopted.

CONCLUSION

The bread wheat genotypes evaluated for days to heading, plant height, spike length, spikelets per spike, 1000-seed weight and grain yield, showed significant variability in all these characters with the exception of 1000-seed weight. Therefore, evaluation to select superior genotypes maybe practiced with respect to early- and highyielding genotypes. The broad-sense heritability and genetic advance were moderate to low;

REFERENCES

- Abinasa, M., Ayana, A. and Bulfosa, G. (2011). Genetic variability, Heritability and Trait Associations in Durum Wheat Genotypes. *African Journal of Agriculture and Research*,**5**(17): 3972 – 3979.
- Al Sayaydeh, R., Al-Bawalize, A., Al-Ajlouni, Z., Akash, M.W., Abu-Elenein, J. and Abdallat, A. Al-M. (2019). Agronomic Evaluation and Yield Performance of Selected Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) Landraces from Jordan. International Journal of Agronomy, Vol. 2019, Article 10 9575081 pp. 2 – 12.
- Aminu-Kano, M and Ikwelle, M.C. (1998).
 Wheat Research in Nigeria. In: "Wheat Production in Nigeria: Prospects and Constraints. Proceedings of the National Wheat Production workshop. February 17 and 18, 1998, Kano State House of Assembly, Kano, Nigeria, Pp 25 35.
- Anjana, Umar, S. & Iqbal, M. (2007). Identification of characteristics affecting nitrogen utilization efficiencies in wheat cultivars. Archives of Agronomy & Soil Science, 53: 459-472.
- Anjana, Umar, S., Abrol, Y. P. & Iqbal, M. (2011). Modulation of nitrogenutilization efficiency in wheat genotypes differing in nitrate reductase activity. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, **34**: 920-933.
- Anon, (2020). https://knaoma.com/atlas/Nigeria/Agricu lture/
- Anon, (2021). World Bank collection of development indices, July, 2021.
- Arega, G., Mohammed, H. and Singh, H. (2010). Genotypic Variability, Heritability,

sensible and intelligent breeding programme should be designed when this set of genotypes is considered to achieve the desired improvement. These genotypes should, therefore, be tested over a number of years to be able to detect desirable and superior genotypes.

> Genetic Advance and Associations among Characters in Ethiopian Durum Wheat (*Triticum durum desf*) Accessions. *East African Journal of Science*, **4**(1): 27-33.

- Baloch, A.W., Baloch, M., Baloch, M.J., Kandhro, R.A., Kandhro, M.N., Gandahi, N., Baloch, G.M., Baloch I.A., Ali, M. and Baloch, A. M. (2016). Evaluation of Exotic Bread Wheat Genotypes for Yield and Its Associated Traits. International Journal of *Biotechnology*, **13** (1): 107 – 110.
- Begum, T. and Dasgupta, T. (2014). Induced Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance in Sesame (Sesamum indium L.). SABRO Journal of Breeding and Genetics, 46 (1): 21 – 33.
- Chandna, R., Gupta, S., Ahmad, A., Iqbal, M. and Prasad, M. (2010). Variability in Indian bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties differing in nitrogen efficiency as assessed by microsatellite markers. *Protoplasma*, 242: 55-67.
- Chandana, R., Hakeem, K.R., Khan, F., Ahmad, A. and Iqbal, M. (2012a). Variability of nitrogen uptake and assimilation among N-efficient and N-inefficient wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. *Journal of Plant Interactions*, **7(4):** 367-375.
- Chandna, R., Kaur, G., Iqbal, M., Khan, I. and Ahmad, A. (2012b). Differential response of wheat genotypes to applied nitrogen: Biochemical and molecular analysis. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science, 58(8): 915-929.
- Deepak, R.P., Pahuja, S.K., Verma, N.K. and Shalu, C. (2018). Morphological

Characterization of Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*L. Moench) Germplasm for DUS Traits. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(2): 2058–2071.

- Dereje, A., Wakgari, R., Geleta, N., Abay, G. and Biru, A. (2019). Evaluation of Improved Food Barley (*Hordeum* vulgare L.) Varieties in Mid – Land Areas of West and Kelem Wollega Zones of Western Oromia. International Journal of Research Studies in Agricultural Sciences, 5(8): 1 – 8.
- Dergicho, D., Alamerew, S., Eticha, F. and Fikre, G. (2015). Genetic Variability in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Genotypes for Yield and Yield Component Traits. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Health,**5** (13): 39-46.
- Destaw, M. A., Shiferaw, A. A. and Kifle, Z. H. (2020). Study of Genetic Variability in some Bread Wheat Accessions (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in Gurage Zone, Ethiopia. *Asian Journal of Biological Sciences*, **13**: 309 – 317.
- Falaki, A.M. and Mohammed, I.B. (2011). Performance of Some Durum Wheat Varieties at Kadawa, Kano State, Nigeria.*Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Science*,**4**(1):48-51.
- Falconer, D.S. (1989). Introduction to Quantitative Genetics, 3rd ed. John Willey and Sons, New York.
- FAO.(2012). Food and Agriculture Organisation, <u>www.fao.org/faostat</u>.
- FAOSTAT.(2015). Food and Agriculture Organization Statistics.Food for Consumers: Marketing, Processing and Distribution. Provisional Text.
- Fazal, A., Ashiq R.M., Zabta, K.S. and Shah, J.K. (2011). Genetic Divergence in Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) Landraces Based on Qualitative and Quantitative Traits. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, **43**(6): 2737-2744.
- Feldman, M. (2001). Origin of Cultivated Wheat. In: Bonjean A.P. and Angus W.J., eds. The World Wheat Book: A history of Wheat breeding.

ISSN: 2811-2881

Paris, France. Lavoisier publishing, 3-56.

- Gafaar, N.A. (2007). Response of some bread wheat varieties grown under different levels of planting density and nitrogen fertilizer. *Minufuja Journal of Agriculture*. **32**: 165 – 183.
- Gabrie, G. Mulugeta, B., Desta, A., Tesfaye, G and Desalegn, W. (2020). Adaptation and Performance Evaluation Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Varieties in Guagusa Shikudad District of North Western Ethiopia. Asian Journal of Plant Science and Research, 10(6): 64 – 68.
- Geleta, N., Desalgn, N. and Dereje, T. (2015).
 Evaluation of Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Breeding lines for Yield and Yield Related Characters in Horo Guduru Wollega Zone, Western Ethiopia. Science, Technolgy and Arts Research Journal, 4(1): 01-08.
- Galeta, G. (2020). Evaluation of Durum Wheat (*Triticum turgidum*) Genotypes for Genetic Variability, Heritability, Genetic Advance and Correlation Studies. Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources, **3**(2): 150 – 159.
- Gomez, A.K. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. John Willey and Sons. Inc. New York.
- Hakeem, K.R., Iqbal, M., Ozturk, M. and Sakcali, M.S. (2011). Differences in the wheat leaf 2-DE protein profile between cultivars and applied nitrogen levels. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, **22S:** S131, pp. 5. (doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2011.05.429).
- Hamdi, A., El-Ghaireb, A.A., Shafey, S.A. and Ibrahim, A.A. (2003). Genetic Variability, Heritability and Expected Advance for earliness and Seed Yield from Selection in Lentil. *Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research.***51**(1): 125-137.
- Hassan, K., Taner, A., Enver, K and Ismail, S. (2010). Evaluation of grain yield and quality of barley varieties under rainfed conditions. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **9** (46): 7825 – 7830.

- Jemal, A., Tamado, T. and Firdissa, E. (2015). Response of Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Varieties to Seeding Rates at Kulemsa, South Eastern Ethiopia. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, **14**:50-58.
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E.(1955). Estimation of Genetic and Environmental Variability in Soybeans. *Agronomy Journal*,**47:** 314-318.
- Kabir, R., Ahmed, I., Rehman, A.U., Qamar, M., Intikhab, A., Rasheed, A., Zakariya, M., Muneer, M.A. and Nisa, Z.U.(2017).
 Evaluation of Bread Wheat Genotypes for Variability and Association Among Yield Related Traits.
 International Journal for Biological Sciences, 11(1): 7-14.
- Karaman, M. (2020). Evaluation of Bread Wheat Genotypes in Irrigated and Rainfed Conditions using Biplot Analysis. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*, **17**(1):1431-1450.
- Khalid, M., Iftikar, H., Farhatullah, K., Abdul B. and Muhammad, I. (2011). Assessment of Heritability Estimates for Some Yield Traits in Winter Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Pakistan Journal of Botany*,43(6): 2733-2736.
- Khan, S. A. (2013). Genetic Variability and Heritability Estimates in F2 Wheat Genotypes. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Science*,**5(9):** 983-986.
- Lagoke, S. T. O., Adigun, J. A. and Dadari, S.
 A. (1998). Weed Control in Wheat. In: Wheat Production in Nigeria. Proceedings of the National Wheat Production Workshop, February 17th and 18th 1998, Kano State House of Assembly, Kano, Nigeria.
- Manal, H.E. (2009). Estimate of Heritability and Genetic Advance of Yield Traits in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Under Drought Conditions. *International Journal of Genetics and Molecular Biology*, **1**(7): 115-120.
- Mulugeta, M., Menzir, A. and Mulatu, K. (2018). Evaluation of Agro-Morphological Traits of Food Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) Varieties in

Different Row Spacings in Bure District, North-Western Ethiopia. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Health Care, **8(17):** 1-4.

- Noor, J.J, Vinayam, M.T., Umar, S., Iqbal, M., Seetharam, K. and Zaidi, P.H. (2019). Morpho-Physiological traits associated with heat Stress tolerance in tropical Maize (*Zea mays L.*) at the reproductive stage. *Australian Journal* of Crop Science, **13**(4): 536-545.
- Olabanji, O.G. Kaigama, B.K. and Ikwelle, M.C. (1990). Agronomic Characteristics of Wheat and its Adaptability to Nigerian Environment. Paper Presented at the National Symposium on Prospects for Wheat Self Sufficiency in Nigeria, NISER Conference Centre, Ibadan, 29th July to 1st August, 1990.
- Olugbemi, L.B. (1990). Major Constraints and Remedies to Wheat Production in Nigeria. In: Wheat Production, Processing and Utilization in Nigeria. Eds. Rayar, A.J., Kaigama, B.K., Olukosi, J.O. and Anaso, A.B.
- Prasaad, J., Dasora, A., Chautan, D., Rizzard, D.A., Bangarwa, S.K. and Nesara, K. (2021). Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Genotypes. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, **20**(2) gmr19419.
- Rayar, A. J., Kaigama, B. K., Olukosi, J. O. and Anaso, A. B. (1990). Wheat in Nigeria: Production, Processing and Utilization. LCRI, IAR and UNIMAID.
- Robinson, D.C., Comstock, R.E. and Harvey, P.H. (1955). Genetic Variances in Open Pollinated Corn. *Genetics*,**40**:45-60.
- Saifullah, Khan, M.N., Iqbal, M., Naeem, A., Bibi, S., Waraich, E.A. and Dahlawi, S. (2016). Elemental sulfur improves growth and phytoremediative ability of wheat grown in leadcontaminated calcareous soil. *International Journal of Phytoremediation*, **18**: 1022-1028.
- Saleem, B., Khan, A.S., Shahzad, M.T. and Ijaz, F.(2016). Estimation of Heritability and Genetic Advance for Various Metric Traits in Seven F₂ Populations of

Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). *Journal of Agriculture Science*,**61** (1): 1-9.

- Shettima, M. (1998). Wheat Production Prospects in Nigeria. The Past, Present and Future. In: Wheat Production in Nigeria: Prospects and Constraints, Pp 14-22.
- Shewry, P.R. (2009). Darwin Review:Wheat. Journal of Experimental Botany,60 (6): 1537-1553.
- Shibeshi, S. (2019). Performance Evaluation of Released Bread Wheat Varieties at Mid, altitude Areas of Southern Ethiopia. Ukrainian Journal of Ecology,**9**(4):661-664.
- Songiri, P., Joglloy, S., Kesmala, T., Vorasoot, N., Akkasaeng, C.P.P. and Holbrook, C. (2008). Heritability of drought resistant traits and correlations of drought resistance and agronomic traits in peanut. *Crop Science*, **48**: 2245 – 2253.
- Tamm, U. (2003). The Variation of Agronomic Characteristics of European Malting

Barley Varieties. *Agronomy Research*, 1:99-103.

- Tchalla, K., Cedric, O., Haskang, L., Yifei, C., Innocent. N., Lianfei, Н., Mouloumdema, P., Xiang. L and Qishuo, D. (2020). Wheat Grain Yield Estimation Based on Image Morphological Properties and Wheat Biomass. Journal of Sensors: https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/1571936
- Wudil, A. J. (1998). Wheat Processing in Nigeria: Prospects, Constraints and Solution. Wheat Production in Nigeria. Proceeding of the National Wheat Production Workshop, February 17 – 18, 1998. Pg 86 – 92.
- Zeleke, L., Fuad, A. and Habte, B. (2019). Performance Evaluation and Adaptability Study of Durum Wheat (*Triticum turgidum var. durum*) Varieties in Mosture Stress Areas of East Hararghe, Oromia. Agricultural Research and Technology, Open Access Journal, **21**(4):556174.