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ABSTRACT

Povidone-iodine is a synthetically produced topical antiseptic with a broad antimicrobial spectrum indicated for both treatment and prevention. Polidine® (a synthetic povidone-iodine solution) however, is being used by poultry farmers and some animal health practitioners with claims that it is effective against infectious bursal disease (IBD) when administered orally. And recently, research studies have shown that povidone-iodine mouthwashes, throat sprays, nasal sprays and eye drops prevent the replication and or viral loads of SARS-CoV-2. Thus, this study established a safety profile of povidone-iodine. Ten adult mice were randomly assigned into 2 groups, each containing 5 mice. One of the two groups was treated with povidone-iodine orally, at a limit dose of 2,000mg/kg BW while the other group served as normal control. At the end of the study, blood samples were collected for hematological and biochemical analysis. Also, liver and kidney were harvested for both gross and histopathological examinations. Result showed the median lethal dose (LD₅₀) of povidone-iodine was found to be >2,000mg/kg BW. Significant increase \( (p < 0.05) \) of total white blood cells, monocytopaenia and increased band cells were observed in the treated group when compared to the control on haematology. However, serum biochemistry revealed significant increase \( (p < 0.01) \) of Aspartate aminotransferase, Alanine aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase and Blood urea nitrogen. On histopathology, no remarkable changes in the group treated with povidone iodine were observed when compared to the control. In conclusion, this study has showed the relative safety of povidone iodine at limit dose (2,000 mg/kg BW) in mice.
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INTRODUCTION
Povidone-iodine (PI) is a synthetically produced topical antiseptic with a broad antimicrobial spectrum indicated for both treatment and prevention. It has widely been used preoperatively as a surgical scrub for skin cleansing, and for the treatment of infected wounds and burns. (Ripa et al., 2002). Povidone-iodine has proven active against many enveloped and non-enveloped viruses (Kawana et al., 1997); fungi and protozoans (Jean-Marie et al., 2013). Polidine® however, is being used by poultry farmers and some animal health practitioners with claims that it is effective against infectious bursal disease (IBD) when administered orally (Aliyu et al., 2016). And recently, research studies have shown that Povidone mouthwashes, throat sprays, nasal sprays and eye drops prevent the replication and or viral loads of SARS-CoV-2 (Bidra et al., 2020). As an anciently known antimicrobial agent, the iodine component is a weak solution that releases free iodine. Its complex with non-ionic surfactants - known as iodophors (Aliu, 2007), kills even bacterial spores within a very short time. Iodophores therefore, are iodine-releasing agents resulting from the combination of iodine with a solubilizing agent in aqueous solutions since iodine by itself is water insoluble. Povidone-iodine for example, has been used on skin and tissues against a wide range of bacteria as an antiseptic (Wood and Payne 1998; Kariwa et al., 2006; Eggers et al., 2018). Being a small molecule, iodine rapidly penetrates into microorganisms and act by oxidizing vital proteins, nucleotides, and fatty acids, which eventually leads to cell death (Jean-Marie et. al., 2013). Povidone-iodine is extensively used both intra- and post-operatively to promote antisepsis through wound irrigation. Although 10% povidone-iodine is the standard for pre-surgical skin antisepsis, other concentrations (e.g., 1, 4, 5 and 7.5%) available in different formulations (aqueous and alcoholic solutions, scrub, gauzes, ointment and creams) are commonly used for various indications. The standard 10% for example, delivers 1% of biocidal, free molecular iodine (Capriotti and Capriotti, 2012). The American Dental Association has recently published interim guidelines for minimizing the risk of COVID-19 transmission which includes the use of a preoperative 0.2% povidone mouthwash (Estrich et al., 2020). In human medicine, application of large quantities of povidone-iodine to open wounds is a common practice and there is a risk of significant toxicity for the patient. When systemically absorbed, iodine bound to serum albumin and is excreted by the kidneys; a clinical study of absorption and excretion of iodine after the administration of Betadine® by most routes, has shown that the blood levels correlate well with urine iodine levels (Ramaswamykanive. et al., 2011).

Despite its apparent innocuousness, several cases of acute kidney injury (AKI) due to iodine toxicity have been documented. Toxicity was evident as a result of ingesting iodine tincture in a patient who attempted suicide (Mao et al., 2011). AKI has also been reported in burn patients treated topically with povidone-iodine (Pietsch and Meakins, 1976). Recent study has shown apoptosis and necrosis related to povidone-iodine exposure induced time - and concentration dependent in cultured human epithelial cells and rat oral mucosal tissue (Sato et al., 2014). Hence, administration of povidone-iodine through any route can subsequently result to its systemic absorption and consequently, it’s accompanying toxicity. However, the amount of iodine absorbed varies with the concentration, the amount applied and route of administration (Glick et. al., 1985; Jose, 1986). Regardless of the ostensibly increasing usage of povidone-iodine, there is less information in scientific data about its safety and systemic evaluation in laboratory animals using the oral route; thus, its safety profile is needed. The oral LD₅₀ in
cockerels was found to be greater than 2,000 mg/kg body weight (Sani et al., 2021), while studies on the acute oral toxicity of pure iodine in mice and rats revealed an LD50 of 22,000 and 14,000 mg/kg, respectively (Alexander and Armen, 2013). The clinical signs of iodine toxicity are nonspecific, anecdotal, sporadic and potentially fatal (Southern and Jwayyed, 2022). The minimum lethal dose of iodine in humans is unknown (Glick et al., 1985). Although iodophors contain low free iodine levels, but the standard stock solution of Betadine® contains enough free iodine to cause systemic and lethal side effects (St. John et al., 2015). The potential for allergic contact dermatitis associated with povidone-iodine has called for much debate and contention among dermatologists (Velázquez et al., 2009). Therefore, the safety of povidone-iodine needs to be ascertained. In this study, acute oral toxicity profile of povidone-iodine was evaluated in mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source of chemical (Povidone-Iodine)
The chemical (Povidone-iodine 10% Solution) used was obtained from a commercial Nigerian pharmaceutical company (Jawa International Ltd., Isolo, Lagos, Nigeria).

Experimental animals
Ten male adult mice were obtained from the Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria; and were maintained in the same University throughout the study period at the animal house of the Department of Veterinary Pharmacology and Toxicology. Two plastic cages were obtained and disinfected; wood shavings were used as beddings and to each cage, a clean improvised drinker and feeder were attached. The mice were fed commercially prepared growers chick mash, and tap water provided ad libitum. The mice were kept at room temperature under fluorescent light, with 12 h light and 12 h dark cycle. One week was allowed for acclimatization, after which they were randomly assigned groups (1 and 2, each consisting 5 mice).

Preparation of the limit dose
A limit dose (2000 mg/kg body weight of mice) of povidone-iodine (10% solution) was calculated to obtain a final volume (in ml) and used for this research.

Determination of acute oral toxicity of povidone-iodine
Based on a pilot study conducted, the LD50 as an index of acute oral toxicity was determined. Hence, a limit dose (2000 mg/kg BW) was administered to each mouse and observed for 24 hours for instant death and possible signs of toxicity. The mice were further observed for 14 days for possible short-term effect and delayed toxicity (Bruce, 1985).

Evaluation of haematological parameters
Following light ether anaesthesia, the mice from both control and treated groups were sacrificed using jugular venesection and blood was collected into a labelled EDTA bottle for determination of haematological parameters. Following a standard technique involving the use of non-heparinized capillary tube, TG12MX® Micro-haematocrit centrifuge machine, and Hawksley® Micro-haematocrit reader, the packed cell volume was determined. Red and White blood cell counts were determined using Natt-Herrick solution (1:200 dilutions) and the improved Neubauer haemocytometer (Campbell and Ellis, 2007). The total White blood cell and differential White blood cell counts were determined by cytometer and Giemsa preparation on slides, respectively.

Determination of serum biochemistry
Following light ether anaesthesia, the mice from both control and treated groups were sacrificed using jugular venesection and blood was collected into a labelled plain bottle for determination of serum biochemical parameters. Serum alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase activity, total protein levels, and urea were determined
using Randox assay kit (Randox Laboratories Ltd, Ardmore, Antrim, UK) according to manufacturer’s instructions.

**Histopathological examination**
Kidneys and liver were harvested from sacrificed mice. The harvested organs were immediately fixed in 10 % formalin (Sigma-Aldrich, Inc., St. Louis, MO, USA) solution and then prepared for histological examination (Guntupalli et al., 2006) with the aid of a light microscope, and the microscopic field images were captured.

**Data analysis**
All data generated were expressed as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM) and subjected to independent t-test using Graphpad Instat (San Diego, CA). Values of \( p \leq 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**The limit dose (2,000 mg/kg) in mice**
A single oral dose of povidone iodine® 2000 mg/kg body weight produced no signs of acute toxicity or immediate death in any of the mice tested (Table 1). All mice were observed for immediate death, 30 min later, and 24 h.

**Effect of povidone-iodine administered at the limit dose (2,000 mg/kg of mice) on haematological parameters**
On erythrocytic indices, no significant difference was observed between the treated and control groups. However, leucocytic indices showed a significant decrease \( (p < 0.05) \) in monocyte (monocytopaenia) in the treated group when compared to the control, while no significant difference was observed in neutrophils and lymphocytes (Table 2).

**Effect of povidone-iodine administered at the limit dose (2,000 mg/kg of mice) on serum biochemical parameters**
Significant increase \( (p < 0.05) \) in the levels of Aspartate aminotransferase, Alanine aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase and Blood urea nitrogen was observed in the treated group when compared to the control group. However, no significant difference was observed in albumin, total protein and creatinine levels (Table 3).

**Effect of povidone-iodine administered at the limit dose (2,000 mg/kg of mice) on histopathology of liver and kidney**
Histopathological examination of the liver and kidney revealed no remarkable changes in the group treated with povidone iodine at Limit dose (2,000 mg/kg BW) when compared to the control. Intact central vein and hepatocytes were observed in the liver, while normal glomerulus and tubules were observed in the kidney for both treated and control groups (Figure 1).

**DISCUSSION**
Povidone-iodine is a synthetically produced topical antiseptic with a broad antimicrobial spectrum indicated for both treatment and prevention. Result showed the median lethal dose (LD\(_{50}\)) of povidone-iodine was found to be >2,000 mg/kg body weight. The benefits of povidone iodine include an excellent safety profile (United Nations Economic Commissions for Europe, 2005) and a broad spectrum of effect due to its multimodal action according to Eggers (2019), this may account for the safety observed in this study. Among other toxicity indices used in determining normal and pathological conditions in man and animals, toxic effects can be assessed using clinical as well as hematological findings and biochemical parameters (Sani et al., 2010). After 14 days of observation, povidone-iodine administration at the limit dose of 2,000 mg/kg showed no significant change in the red blood cell indices and this agrees with previous works by Aletan (2014) in Wistar rats and Sani et al. (2021) in cockerels. This may be attributed to the release of iodine through indirect biotransformation from povidone iodine. Erythropoiesis is enhanced by the significant pathway of iodine metabolism in the synthesis of thyroxine and this in turn helps stabilize the erythrocytic indices (Ahmed and Mohammed, 2020).
Furthermore, the non-significant increase in the total white blood cell may be as a result of indirect enhanced myelopoietic effect by iodine via the action of thyroxine. Povidone iodine has been demonstrated to modulate the redox potential, inhibit the release of inflammatory mediators such as TNF-α and β-galactosidase, inhibit metalloproteinase production, and potentiate the healing signals from pro-inflammatory cytokines by activation of monocytes, T-lymphocytes, and macrophages (Bigliardi et al., 2017). This may account for the monocytopenia observed in this study since the mechanism of action involves a great effect on monocytes (Ohtani et al., 2007; Lepelletier et al., 2020). Liver and kidney function parameters are important indicators for assessing damages or toxicity (Saleem et al., 2017). On serum biochemistry, significant increases were recorded in AST, ALT, ALP and BUN in the treated group in comparison with the control group. Increased level of blood urea nitrogen is characteristic of kidney damage, and this was previously demonstrated when iodine containing radiopaque materials were administered for imaging (Vaidya et al., 2008; Fahling et al., 2017). The results of the serum biochemistry agree with previous work by Kim et al. (2017). Chalasani et al. (2004) reported that regardless of the mechanism involved, reduced serum activities of aminotransferases have not been shown to correlate with the toxicologically significant outcome on the liver. Therefore, the increase in the activity of AST as seen in the treated group may not be attributed to toxic effect of PI, as indicated by the result of histopathology of the liver and kidney where no deleterious effect was observed.

CONCLUSION
Administration of povidone iodine at the limit dose of 2000 mg/kg showed no toxic effect in mice. Hence, this study showed the relative safety of PI at the administered dose.
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Figure Legend

Fig. 1. Photomicrograph of kidney and liver and kidney of mice. CV; central vein, G; glomerulus, T; tubules. H & E stain × 200.

Table 1: Effect of oral administration of povidone iodine at limit dose of 2000 mg/kg in mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>Mice label</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Short-term effect (24 hrs)</th>
<th>Delayed effect (14 days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>No death</td>
<td>No death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>No death</td>
<td>No death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>No death</td>
<td>No death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>No death</td>
<td>No death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>No death</td>
<td>No death</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: H (Head), B (Back), T (Tail), FL (Forelimb), HL (Hindlimb).

Table 2: Haematological parameters of male adult mice orally administered a limit dose (2,000 mg/kg) of povidone-iodine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Treated (2000 mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Red Blood Cells (x10^9/L)</td>
<td>5.33 ± 0.58</td>
<td>4.47 ± 0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packed cell volume (%)</td>
<td>43.67 ± 0.58</td>
<td>39.67 ± 7.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>14.47 ± 0.15</td>
<td>12.97 ± 2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total White Blood Cells (x10^9/L)</td>
<td>9.70 ± 0.90</td>
<td>11.50 ± 2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils (%)</td>
<td>35.67 ± 7.51</td>
<td>33.67 ± 5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte (%)</td>
<td>63.00 ± 8.00</td>
<td>62.67 ± 7.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte (%)</td>
<td>3.00 ± 3.00</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM, n=5. Means in the same row with different superscript for each parameter are statistically significant (*p < 0.05).

Table 3: Biochemical parameters of male adult mice orally administered a limit dose (2,000 mg/kg) of povidone-iodine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Treated (2000 mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)</td>
<td>125.67 ± 6.11</td>
<td>273.00 ± 2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)</td>
<td>26.00 ± 7.00</td>
<td>87.67 ± 7.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)</td>
<td>63.67 ± 5.50</td>
<td>78.67 ± 2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/L)</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.12</td>
<td>2.37 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood urea nitrogen (mg/L)</td>
<td>55.33 ± 3.51</td>
<td>83.00 ± 5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein (g/L)</td>
<td>7.80 ± 0.20</td>
<td>9.77 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM, n=5. Means in the same row with different superscript for each parameter are statistically significant (*p < 0.05).
Figure 1: Photomicrograph of kidney and liver and kidney of mice (H & E stain × 200). CV; central vein, G; glomerulus, T; tubules, H; hepatocytes.